UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/564,789 | 01/13/2006 | Tomoyuki Horiguchi | TIP-05-1845 | 3315 | |
| | 7590 07/18/200 DLA PIPER US LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| ONE LIBERTY | PLACE | GUGLIOTTA, NICOLE T | | | |
| PHILADELPH | ST, SUITE 4900 IA, PA 19103 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1794 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/18/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/564,789 | HORIGUCHI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| NICOLE T. GUGLIOTTA | 1794 | | |

| | NICOLE T. GUGLIOTTA | 1794 | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejectio | n. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origing the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period fo | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | te extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second | isideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially reconstructions or responding number of finally rejectives. | ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.2.4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.2.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co owable if submitted in a separate, to | timely filed amendmer | t canceling the | | | |
| 7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 29 - 40 and 42 - 48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | l be entered and an ex | planation of | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | • | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| /Carol Chaney/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794 | /NG/ Patent Examiner AU 179 | 94 | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: "0 - 10 wt% of an elastomer fiber" (claims 29, 39 and 40) & "island-in-sea type composite fibers...and removing the sea component of the composite fibers to produce ultra-fine fibers" are new issues that would require further search and consideration.